Annual Core Theme Mission Fulfilment Evaluation and Planning

Work Book

Core Theme	Sustainability
Submitted by	Core Theme Team: Sustainability
Signature, Chair	Jim Gudjonson
Date	July 24, 2018

Table of Contents

Overview

Timeline for Submissions

Core Theme Sustainability Mission Fulfilment Framework (2016/17)

- 1. Assessment of Core Theme in Relation to Mission Fulfilment
 - A. Review of Previous Year
 - B. Summary
- 2. Planning for Next Year
 - A. Review of Objectives and Indicators
 - B. New Indicators
 - C. Emerging Indicators
 - D. Thresholds & Targets
 - E. Planning for Improvement

Overview

Annual review of the Core Themes in relation to Mission Fulfilment involves three steps:

- 1) Conduct an analysis of the data collected for each outcome.
- 2) Assess the value of each indicator in light of the Mission Fulfilment Threshold.
- 3) Plan services and programs related to the Core Theme for the following year.

Completed reports or "Work Books" are submitted to the Accreditation Liaison Officer (ALO) annually on June 30. ALO compiles results from all four Core Theme Work Books into an institutional Mission Fulfilment Report outlining how successful TRU was in fulfilling its' mission that year.

May 1 - June 30	Core Theme Teams or Standing Committee of Senate performs annual assessment of Mission Fulfilment and planning process.
June 30	Core Theme Work Book submitted to ALO. accreditation@tru.ca
July 1 - July 31	ALO compiles Core Theme Work Books into an institutional Mission Fulfilment Report.
August 1 – 31	Accreditation Steering Committee (ASC) reviews annual institutional Mission Fulfilment Report.
September 1 – September 30	Broad distribution of institutional Mission Fulfilment Report through TRU's collegial governance process.
	The report is brought forward by the Provost and Vice President Academic to APPC, Senate, PCOL, and the Board of Governors. The report is then posted publicly to the TRU website.

Timeline for Submissions

Mission Fulfilment Framework - Sustainability

					Mission Fulfilment Threshold Ranges			
Objective	Outcome	Indicator	Rationale for Indicator	Achieved	Minimally Achieved	Not Achieved	Five Year Goal	Historical Values
	evident in how it	energy, food & dining, grounds, purchasing, transportation, wase, and water)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post-secondary instituions. The TRU plan aligns closely with the STARS framework, making the STARS rating an ideal indicator of TRU's success towards achieving its sustainability objectives.	increase score at least 1 point	increase score up to 1 point	decrease in score	Score of 55.06	2015: 50.06
1.0 TRU will integrate sustainability across operation,	TRU community are	category: campus engagement and public engagement)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post-secondary instituions. The TRU plan aligns closely with the STARS framework, making the STARS rating an ideal indicator of TRU's success towards achieving its sustainability objectives.	increase score at least 2 points	increase score up to 2 points	decrease in score	Score of 39.53	2015: 29.53
engagement, academic, and governance practices.	3.1 TRU is recognized as a leading academic institution advancing sustainability education and research.	category: curriculum and research)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post-secondary instituions. The TRU plan aligns closely with the STARS framework, making the STARS rating an ideal indicator of TRU's success towards achieving its sustainability objectives.	increase score at least 4 points	increase score up to 4 points	decrease in score	Score of 51.23	2015: 31.23
	core value in TRU's	4.1 STARS score (Planning and Administration category: coordination & planning, diversity & affordability, investment, and wellbeing & work)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post-secondary	increase score at least 1 point	increase score up to 1 point	decrease in score	Score of 29.33	2015: 24.33

1. Assessment of Core Theme in Relation to Mission Fulfilment

A. Review of Previous Year

Complete the following for each indicator in the Core Theme:

Current Value and Mission Fulfilment

- a. Gather information to determine the indicator value for the most recent period.
- b. Determine the change from the prior year and identify which of the mission fulfilment ranges applies (Achieved / Minimally Achieved / Not Achieved).

Table 1: Identification of Mission Fulfilment Range

Indicator # and descriptor	Prior Year Value (2015)	Current Value	Mission Fulfilment Range
1.1 STARS score (Operations category: air & climate, buildings,	31.23	50.8	Achieved
energy, food & dining, grounds, purchasing, transportation,			Target was 1 or >1
waste, and water).			Increased by 19.57
1.2 STARS score (Engagement category: campus engagement	29.53	36	Achieved
and public engagement).			Target was 2 or >2
			Increased by 6.47
1.3 STARS score (Academic category: curriculum and	31.23	37	Achieved
research).			Target was 4 or >4
			Increased by 5.77
1.4 STARS score (Planning & Administration category:	24.33	22.09	Not achieved
coordination & planning, diversity & affordability, investment, and			Target was 1 or >1
wellbeing & work).			Decreased by 3.74

Context of the Current Year Value

- c. State what was achieved.
- d. State how plans, services, or initiatives impact the progress of the indicator.
- e. Identify factors affecting progress.

Table 2: Context / Impact on Progress

Indicator #	Describe what was achieved	List plans, services or initiatives impacting progress; Identify factors positively or negatively affecting progress
1.1	Increased by 19.57 Increases across 8 of 9 operational areas	 An area of opportunity is in food and dining (sourcing more local food) No water conservation policy or plan is impeding water reduction strategies
1.2	Increased by 6.47	 A formal community service volunteer program requiring all students to volunteer at least 10 hours a year should be implemented
1.3	Increased by 5.77	 All programs should require at least 1 sustainability-related learning outcome. TRU should have a centralized open access to research portal and policy to make it mandatory for all faculty to have research in portal.
1.4	Decreased 2.24	 TRU should have a formal policy and/or procedures to involve community stakeholders in planning and decision making TRU should track success rate of low income students and implement programs increase success rates TRU should consider a policy that contractors pay their employees a Living wage.

B. Summary

a) Identify how successful TRU was in fulfilling its mission for the Core Theme in light of the values of the indicators and the definition of Mission Fulfilment.

TRU made significant increase in 3 or 4 core themes and achieved Mission Fulfillment as defined as:

Mission fulfillment occurs when 70% of the indicators for each of the four Core Themes are in the Achieved or Minimally Achieved threshold ranges.

b) Identify the successes of the Core Theme and the areas in need of improvement.

Table 3: Summary of Core Theme

How successful was TRU in achieving mission fulfilment for this Core Theme? Identify successes	TRU was successful in achieving mission fulfillment for the core theme Sustainability. Significant progress was made in all but a few categories. Increased number of sustainability-related courses- increased campus engagement – increases in 8 of 9 operational categories
List areas in need of improvement	 An area of opportunity is in food and dining (sourcing more local food) No water conservation policy or plan is impeding water reduction strategies A formal community service volunteer program requiring all students to volunteer at least 10 hours a year should be implemented All programs should require at least 1 sustainability-related learning outcome. TRU should have a centralized open access to research portal and policy to make it mandatory for all faculty to have research in portal. TRU should have a formal policy and/or procedures to involve community stakeholders in planning and decision making TRU should track success rate of low income students and implement programs increase success rates TRU should consider a policy that contractors pay their employees a Living wage.

2. Planning for the Next Year

A. Review of Objectives and Indicators

Objectives

- a. Review current objectives to confirm they are still in alignment with Core Theme and TRU's mission statement.
- b. If necessary, add or remove objectives to keep the Core Theme relevant to TRU's mission statement.

Table 4: Review of Objectives

Objective # and descriptor	Still relevant (Y/N)	If not, identify revisions and provide rationale for change
1. TRU will integrate sustainability across operation, engagement, academic, and governance practices.	Y	

Indicators

- a. Review the current indicators and rationales to confirm alignment with objective, Core Theme, and TRU's mission statement.
- b. Based on this review, establish if indicators need to be removed, and/or if new indicators need to be added to the Core Theme to track whether the outcomes associated with the objectives are being achieved. Follow the 'Introducing New Indicators / Removing Current Indicators' under Resource Information (below).

Resource Information

1. Introducing New Indicators / Removing Current Indicators

Periodically new indicators will need to be added or existing indicators removed when the focus of the Core Theme changes, data collection at the institution changes (e.g. a new survey is being used, or an existing survey has been discontinued), or new initiatives commence. When it is required please complete the following:

A. Identify the indicator(s), if any, to be added

Provide the rationale for the indicator, including description of how the indicator aligns with the Core Theme and mission.

B. Identify the indicator(s), if any, to be removed

1. Provide rationale as to why the indicator no longer aligns with mission and Core Theme.

- 2. Demonstrate how the objective previously tracked by the indicator is still being captured by the other indicators for the Core Theme.
- 3. Comment on potential gaps for how the core theme is measured, and in turn, how Mission Fulfilment is determined.

Table 5: Review of Indicators

Indicator #	Still relevant (Y/N)	If not, provide rationale
1.1	у	
1.2	y	
1.3	у	
1.4	у	

B. New Indicators

New Indicators refer to those indicators for which we already have three years of historical data and wish to replace or add to the list of current indicators. If selected, these indicators will be reported on during the 2019 reporting cycle. If you do not wish to add or replace indicators, leave Table 6 blank.

Table 6: New Indicators for 2019 Reporting Cycle

New Indicator	Rationale	MF Threshold Range			Five Year	Historical
		Achieved Minimally Not		Goal	Values	
		Achieved Achieved				

C. Emerging Indicators

Given the changing nature of the institution, initiatives, and available data, consider if there are other indicators that would better measure the Core Theme objectives. Emerging indicators are those that may be beneficial for tracking in the future, however, historical data does not currently exist. Ideally, three years of historical values of the indicator should be available in order to make informed plans. It is beneficial to start to track the indicator value before it is used as an indicator for the Core Theme, as this will help develop historical information.

- A. Identify emerging indicators or concepts for indicators which could be of value for future measurement of the objectives of the Core Theme.
 - 1. Comment on data source, availability, and develop a plan to collect data for the indicator.
 - 2. When possible, begin compilation of indicator values, either by the Core Theme Team or the appropriate department (e.g. Integrated Planning and Effectiveness). This will form a basis for planning if/when the indicator is adopted for the Core Theme.

B. Consider if qualitative indicators could be used.

In the table below, identify any emerging indicators which could be used to track the objectives of the Core Theme in the future. If so, use the guidelines for 'Emerging Indicators' section under Resource Information (above).

Table 7: Emerging Indicators

Emerging Indicator	Rationale	Data Source

C. Thresholds & Targets

Review thresholds for Mission Fulfilment for each indicator to ensure relevancy

- a) The threshold is defined as the percentage change to the indicator (up or down), which would be considered meeting threshold expectations. See 'Thresholds for Mission Fulfilment' under Resource Information (below) for more information on setting these ranges. These will be the values used during the next year to evaluate Mission Fulfilment.
- b) If the ranges change, provide a rationale for the change.

Resource Information

2. Definitions and Thresholds for Mission Fulfilment

Each indicator has three threshold ranges:

Achieved

The indicator has increased/decreased by a fixed percentage or value in line with expectation of mission fulfilment.

Minimally Achieved

The percentage or value of the indicator is holding at, or close to the current level.

Not Achieved

The indicator value has decreased/increased by a fixed percentage or value.

Quantitative indicators are defined as a fixed percentage or value growth from the prior year with ranges set individually for each indicator.

Qualitative indicators include identification of components that measure the threshold identified and require the development of a rubric to assess each component.

Table 8: Indicator	Threshold Ranges
---------------------------	------------------

Indicator #	Threshold Ranges			Revised Ranges (if applicable)			Rationale
	Achieved	Minimally Achieved	Not Achieved	Achieved	Minimally Achieved	Not Achieved	
1.1	Increase score at least 1 point	Increase score up to 1 point	Decrease in score	1	1	<1	
1.2	Increase score at least 2 points	Increase score up to 2 points	Decrease in score	1	1	<1	As we approach Platinum rating each additional point will become more difficult to obtain
1.3	Increase score at least 4 points	Increase score up to 4 points	Decrease in score	1	1	<1	As we approach Platinum rating each additional point will become more difficult – not many points available in this category y
1.4	Increase score at least 1 point	Increase score up to 1 point	Decrease in score	1	1	<1	

Review the Five-Year Target

Five-year targets should be aspirational yet realistic. They should provide a concrete goal and motivation to improve services, programs, or experiences as a means to achieve outcome targets. These targets can be tied to goals related to institutional strategic plans where available.

Table 9: Five-Year Targets

Indicator #	5-Year Target	Relevant (Y/N)	If not, provide revised target and include rationale for change
1.1	55.06	У	
1.2	39.53	У	
1.3	51.23	У	
1.4	29.33	n	The scale for this goal is capped at 26 – 5 year target should be in 24-25 range

D. Planning for Improvement

Based on the information you provided above, and taking into consideration new or revised outcomes and indicators, complete the following Mission Fulfilment Framework which will be used as the benchmark for the 2019 reporting cycle.

Objective	Outcome	Indicator	Rationale for Indicator	Mission Fulfilment Threshold Ranges			Final Year	Historical Values
				Achieved	Minimally achieved	Not Achieved	Goal	
1.0 TRU will integrate sustainability across operation, engagement, academic, and governance practices.	1.1 TRU's commitment to sustainability is evident in how it develops, operates and maintains its campuses and regional centres	1.1 STARS score (Operations category: air & climate, buildings, energy, food & dining, grounds, purchasing, transportation, waste, and water)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post- secondary institutions. The TRU plan aligns closely with the STARS framework, making the STARS rating an ideal indicator of TRU's success towards achieving its sustainability objectives.	increase score at least 1 point	Increase score up to 1 point	decrease in score	55.06	2015: 31.22 2018: 50.27

Table 10: Completed Mission Fulfilment Framework for 2018

2.1 Members of the TRU community are sustainability ambassadors on and off campus.	2.1 STARS score (Engagement category: campus engagement and public engagement)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post- secondary institutions. The TRU plan aligns closely with the STARS framework, making the STARS rating an ideal indicator of TRU's success towards achieving its sustainability objectives.	increase score at least 2 points	increase score up to 2 points	decrease in score	39.53	2015: 29.53 2018: 36.93
3.1 TRU is recognized as a leading academic institution advancing sustainability education and research.	3.1 STARS score (Academic category: curriculum and research)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post- secondary instituions. The TRU plan aligns closely with the STARS framework, making the STARS rating an ideal indicator of TRU's success towards achieving its sustainability objectives.	increase score at least 4 points	increase score up to 4 points	decrease in score	51.23	2015: 40.06 2018: 55.21

4.1 Sustainability is a core value in TRU's institutional and administrative framework	4.1 STARS score (Planning and Administration category: coordination & planning, diversity & affordability, investment, and wellbeing & work)	Sustainability, tracking, Assessment and Rating System (STARS), is a North American transparent, self-reporting framework designed specifically for post- secondary institutions.	increase score at least 1 point	Increase score up to 1 point	decrease in score	26	2015: 24.33 2018: 20.59	
--	---	---	--	------------------------------------	----------------------	----	----------------------------------	--

Finally, determine the plans required to improve the performance of the indicators and achieve the objectives of the core theme.

<u>Planning</u>

Outline plans to continue to improve or maintain performance of the indicator at the:

- a) Institutional level
- b) Unit level

Consultation

Outline plans to consult with key stakeholders who are responsible for influencing the indicator to accomplish the objective.

Budget & Resources

Identify any budgetary and resource limitations/implications.

Indicator #	Plans at institutional level for improvement	Plans at unit level for improvement	Consultations required	Budgetary and resource limitations/impact
1.1	1.1 STARS score (Operations category: air & climate, buildings, energy, food & dining, grounds, purchasing, transportation, waste, and water).	Develop sustainable food purchasing guidelines to ensure certified or locally sourced food (<400KM) as per AASHE Platinum standards Develop water conservation plan	Ancillary – Aramark – Culinary Arts – Sustainability – VP Finance & Administration	TBD
1.2	1.2 STARS score (Engagement category: campus engagement and public engagement).	Develop community volunteer program, mandatory for all students	Student services- TRUSU- career services	TBD
1.3	1.3 STARS score (Academic category: curriculum and research).	Develop portal for open access to research- mandatory for all faculty researchers Create mandatory sustainability- related content course for all programs	HR- TRUFA – research Office	TBD
1.4	1.4 STARS score (Planning & Administration category: coordination & planning, diversity & affordability, investment, and wellbeing & work).	Develop policy to outline community stakeholder engagement Determine success rates of low- income students – plan to increase success rates Develop Living wage guidelines for contractors	VP Finance &Administration- HR – student services	TBD